

Companies Mentioned in this Report

- § Buffalo Technology
- § Broadcom
- § Cisco (Linksys by Cisco)
- § D-Link
- § DigiOn
- § Fabrik (SimpleTech)
- § Freecom
- § Freescale
- § Fujitsu-Siemens
- § Hammer Storage
- § Hewlett-Packard
- § I-O Data
- § Intel
- § IOCELL Networks
- § Iomega
- § LaCie
- § LG
- § Linksys
- § Marvel Technology
- § Maxtor (Seagate)
- § Macrovision (Mediabolic)
- § Microsoft
- § Netgear
- § Oxford Semiconductor
- § RISC DSP Communication
- § Syabas Technology
- § Thecus Technology
- § Tranquil PC
- § TwonkyVision
- § Velocity Micro
- § Western Digital
- § Ximeta
- § Zetera
- § ZyXEL

Who Will be Interested in This Report?

- § Semiconductor, PC, CE companies that provide PCs, media servers, consumer network storage, and networked consumer electronics devices
- § Companies providing media server software and back up software
- § Companies that provide broadband home networking products
- § Telco, cable, and satellite service providers interested in media server and network storage trends and market dynamics
- § Financial community: equipment market shares provided

What Questions Does This Report Answer?

- § What are the drivers and trends affecting the consumer network drive market?
- § What are the growth expectations for consumer network storage during these challenging economic times?
- § Which region will fare the best in the near term?
- § How quickly is capacity migration occurring?
- § Which price tier provides the mainstay of this market, and will that change?
- § Has wireless LAN found success in the consumer network storage market?
- § Who were the 2008 leaders in unit market share, and how have the top five ranking companies changed from 2007?
- § Who is entering this market?
- § Who has exited this market?
- § How successful has the Windows Home Server platform been thus far?
- § What are the current feature sets offered by model for each vendor?
- § What are the updated prices by model?
- § Which brands of media servers are used by which product lines?
- § Who are the primary semiconductor vendors supplying processors for consumer network drives?
- § Which new capacities have been added, and which ones have been dropped?
- § What new product lines are soon to be launched?
- § What product features are being added by vendors?

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	1	Redundancy Scheme Instead of RAID	13
Market Overview.....	5	OS Support	13
In-Stat's Definition of Consumer Network Storage.....	5	CE Device Support	13
Market Challenges & Opportunities	6	Privacy & Password Protection.....	13
Advantages of Network Storage as a Home Server vs. Direct Storage	7	Platform Requirements	13
Supporting Multiple PCs & Operating Systems in the Home.....	8	Network Health Monitoring.....	14
Support for Both NTFS and FAT32.....	8	Branded vs. Proprietary Media Server Use	15
Market Trends.....	9	Technology Trends.....	16
Demand & Supply for Consumer Network Storage.....	9	Examples of Processors Used for Specific Models.....	16
Bridge/Adapter Products	9	Digital Living Network Alliance	16
Integrated Products (Router + Storage).....	9	Transcoding	17
Enclosure Products	10	Serial ATA Interface	17
USB Ports & Print Serving	10	Gigabit Ethernet	17
NAS vs. Server.....	10	NAS, SAN, and NDAS Technology.....	18
Media Serving Software	11	NAS and SAN	18
DigiOn	11	Zetera's Z-SAN—a Proprietary SAN	18
Macrovision/Mediabolic.....	11	NDAS	20
Syabas Technology.....	11	X-RAID Technology	20
TwonkyVision	11	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix.....	21
Microsoft Windows Home Server.....	12	Worldwide Vendor Market Shares.....	34
Microsoft Drops Windows Home Server Prices for OEMs	12	Forecasts	36
		Market Size by Capacity	36
		Market Size by Price Tier	38
		Market Size by Geographic Region	40

Market Size by Wired and Wireless LAN42	Home Network Users' Interest in Purchasing Network Storage48
International Consumer Survey Insights43	Interest in Network Storage by Non-Users, by Type49
Ownership of Network Drive by Consumers in the UK, Germany, France, Japan, and Korea...43	Demographics of Ownership.....50
North American Consumer Survey Insights44	Gender50
Ownership of Network Drive by Consumers in North America44	Age.....50
Network Storage Capacity Owned45	Income51
Ways that Network Storage is Being Used45	Methodology52
Ownership by Type of Network Storage46	List of Tables53
Length of Time Installed Base Capacity Will be Sufficient.....47	List of Figures54
Use and Interest in Home Network Remote Access.....48	Related In-Stat Reports55

Methodology

The information contained in this report is derived from primary and secondary sources. Interviews were conducted with vendors associated with network-capable storage solutions that target the consumer market in January and February 2009. Secondary sources included white papers, magazines, journals, and information from various organizations and associations. Other primary sources included domestic and international consumer surveys.

The forecasts in this report are the result of internal models developed by In-Stat to analyze the rapidly changing, connected home marketplace. All forecasts represent worldwide figures. Please note that some of the numbers in this report may not calculate exactly due to rounding. The abbreviations of “HN” for home network, “BB” for broadband, and “AP” for access point are sometimes used within tables or charts.

The domestic consumer survey data referenced in the *North American Consumer Survey Insights* section of this report is from an online broadband survey that was conducted in June 2008, with 1,000 respondents. The sample size of Canadian to US broadband respondents was 10% to 90%, respectively, which approximately resembles the ratio of Canadian to American installed home networks. This survey included questions about network drives in order to gauge consumers’ perspectives about these products, their use, capacities, types, and interest in purchasing. The survey was conducted using a large, third party, online consumer panel that consisted of over 1.7 million consumers in the US and Canada. This panel’s members were recruited by invitation. This panel had the ability to deliver a cross-section of the US and Canadian populations with a highly normalized demographic and geographic balance. All respondents for this survey were screened to ensure that all subscribed to broadband Internet access and had at least one PC at home. The purpose of this screening was to ensure that there would be ample sample sizes for various questions related to PCs and home networking, as broadband subscribers’ perspectives are the most relevant to home networking. All respondents were aged 18 or older.

The 2008 US Residential Technology Survey (RTS) consumer survey was conducted in March 2008 with the same online consumer panel, except that no Canadians were included, and respondents were only required to be aged 18 or older and be knowledgeable about the consumer electronics products used in their household. Some results from the US survey are different than for the US respondents in the North American broadband survey, due to the different screening requirements. This survey had 1,064 completes.

The international consumer surveys referenced in this report resulted in approximately 400 completes each from Japan, Korea, France, Germany, and the UK. Respondents were only required to be aged 18 or older and be knowledgeable about the consumer electronics products used in their household. Due to variations in the demographics for the respondent sample compared to country demographics, these results were weighted as needed to obtain data that would more closely mirror their respective country demographics.

List of Tables

Table 1.	Branded Media Server vs. Proprietary UPnP Server Use by Vendor.....	15
Table 2.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 1	22
Table 3.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 2	24
Table 4.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 3	26
Table 5.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 4	28
Table 6.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 5	30
Table 7.	Detailed Vendor Product & Feature Matrix, Section 6	32
Table 8.	2008 Worldwide Vendor Market Shares: Consumer Network Storage (Units in Thousands)	34
Table 9.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Capacity, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands)	37
Table 10.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Price Tier, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands).....	39
Table 11.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Geographic Region, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands) ...	41
Table 12.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Wired/Wireless LAN, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands) ..	42
Table 13.	International Consumer Network Storage Ownership/Use by Country: UK Germany, France, Japan, and Korea.....	43
Table 14.	Consumer Network Storage Ownership/Use in North America	44
Table 15.	Network Storage in Use by Capacity	45
Table 16.	Ways That Network Storage is Being Used.....	45
Table 17.	Ownership by Type of Drive.....	46
Table 18.	Length of Time Installed Base Capacity Will be Sufficient.....	47
Table 19.	Currently Have Remote Access to Home Network.....	48
Table 20.	Interest in Remote Access to Files and Allowing Others to Access Files on Home Network.....	48
Table 21.	Interest in Purchasing Network Storage Drive	48
Table 22.	Types of Network Storage of Interest to Non-Network Storage Users	49
Table 23.	Gender Demographics: All vs. Home Network Users vs. Network Storage Users.....	50
Table 24.	Age Demographics: All vs. Home Network Users vs. Network Storage Users	50
Table 25.	Income Demographics: All vs. Home Network Users vs. Network Storage Users	51

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

List of Figures

Figure 1.	2008 Top Five Vendors: Consumer Network Storage	35
Figure 2.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Capacity, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands)	37
Figure 3.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Price Tier, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands).....	39
Figure 4.	Worldwide Consumer Network Storage by Geographic Region, 2007–2013 (Units in Thousands) ...	41

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

Related In-Stat Reports

The following reports contain related information on the installed base of home networks, home network technologies, broadband CPE, services, and networked stationary CE devices.

- #IN0804083RC *Global Powerline Networking: Growing in Spite of Economic Woes*, December/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804083RC>
- #IN0804088RC *Global Networked Media Clients & Servers 2009 Update: Clients Growing but Struggling*, November/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804088RC>
- #IN0804087RC *Home Network Management and Remote Access in North America*, September/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804087RC>
- #IN0804081RC *Global Home Networking and Broadband CPE Outlooks Through 2012*, August/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804081RC>
- #IN0804084RC *Networking over Coax and Phone Wiring for Service Provider Deployments Worldwide*, June/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804084RC>
- #IN0804086RC *Global Digital Domicile CPE 2007 Market Shares*, June/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0804086RC>
- #IN0804264MBS *US Residential Broadband Services: How Fast is "High-Speed"?*, February/2008—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=288&SKU=IN0804264MBS>
- #IN0703445RC *Tying Home Automation & Security to Home Networks in NA: Renters Willing to Pay More for Remote Access*, August /2007—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0703445RC>
- #IN0703428RC *American Market for Home Network Support Services*, May /2007—
<http://www.instat.com/abstract.asp?id=99&SKU=IN0703428RC>

Offices

North America

Arizona

+1.480.483.4440

California

+1.408.345.4495

Massachusetts

+1.781.734.8674

China

+86 10 6642 1812

Europe/Middle East/Africa

+1.480.483.4470

Copyright In-Stat 2009. All rights reserved.

Reproduction in whole or in part is prohibited without written permission from In-Stat.

This report is the property of In-Stat and is made available to a restricted number of clients only upon these terms and conditions. The contents of this report represent the interpretation and analysis of statistics and information that is either generally available to the public or released by responsible agencies or individuals. The information contained in this report is believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to its accuracy or completeness. In-Stat reserves all rights herein. Reproduction or disclosure in whole or in part to parties other than the In-Stat client who is the original subscriber to this report is permitted only with the written and express consent of In-Stat. This report shall be treated at all times as a confidential and proprietary document for internal use only. In-Stat reserves the right to cancel your subscription or contract in full if its information is copied or distributed to other divisions of the subscribing company without the written approval of In-Stat.